رئاسة مجلس الوزراء جهاز شنون البيئة وحدة تنفيذ مشروع التحكم في التلوث الصناعي

Case Summary

The Egyptian Starch & Glucose Manufacturing Company(ESGC)

Company Information:

Contact Person: Eng/ Khaled Badr Position: Factory manager

Telephone: 448588701 – 448588705

Fax: 448242170

Sector: Private Sector Company.

Number of labors: 480

Project Title: Installation of new glucose production line

Type of Project: Water Pollution Reduction and Improvement of work environment.

1 **Basic Information**

1.1 Main Products:

Products	Actual Average Production, t/y	
Starch	23800	
Glucose	34000	
Corn Oil	1700	
Animal Fodder	25500	

1.2 Raw Materials:

Raw materials		Current Consumption, t/y		
Corn		85000		
Hydrochloric acid		600		
S	ulfur	300		
Sodium	Sodium carbonate		90	
Sodium r	neta bisulfate	135		
Activa	ted carbon	150		
Kieslgu	r (filter aid)		180	
Utilities	Usage	Consumption, m3/y	Source	
Water	Domestic	40800	General Municipal line	
	Cooling	408000		
	Process	938400	Ismailia Canal after treatment	
	Boiler house	306000		
	Туре	Consumption /y (m³/y)		
Fuel	Natural gas, m ³ /y	2.5×10^6		
	Source	Consumption (Kw/y)		
Electricity	National Grid	21 × 10 ⁶		
	Self generated			

1.3 Project Location:

8, 6 October street, Mostorod, Kaliobia Governorate.

1.4 **Project Objectives:**

• Reduce Dust and HCl emissions in the work environment to comply with the environmental law No. 4/1994.

- Reduce Waste water pollutants (TSS, COD) to comply with environmental law 48/82.
- Improve the working environment and surrounding area.
- Improve process efficiency.

1.5 **Project Description**

The existing glucose line is old (established since more than 60 years ago) and deteriorated. The process is a source of pollution due to continuous leak and emission of dust (carbon powder). Manual handling of concentrated HCl is dangerous and affects the workers safety.

Existing WWTP is affected by heavy loads of BOD, COD and TSS coming from the present glucose line. Sometimes treated water discharged to sewer is not complying with environmental law.

The company decided to replace the old glucose unit with new one using new cleaner technology (enzyme- based- technology). Emissions will comply with environmental laws after implementing the project.

1.6 Project Components:

The new line consists of the following items:

Sr.	Equipment	Sr.	Equipment
1	Mill Starch receiver	9	Evaporator
2	Ph adjustor	10	Mixing and pH adjustor
3	Enzymatic liquefaction	11	Heat exchanger
4	Saccharification	12	Filtration unit
5	Separation	13	Isomerization plant
6	Filtration	14	Deminerization unit
7	Refining unit	15	Evaporization plant
8	Ion exchanger	16	Stainless steel Sheets

1.7 Estimated Project Cost:

- The total estimated cost is US\$ 18 million with a finance from EPAP II co-financers about US\$ 11.041 million for the equipment.
- What has been Financed through EPAP Until now:
 - o Component 1: Total cost for Glucose line US\$ 8.778 with US\$ 7.98 M Financed from EPAPII
 - Component 2: Total cost for Stainless steel Sheets for Glucose line US\$ 1,446,914
 with US\$ 1,315,714 M Financed from EPAPII

Auxiliaries:

- Total cost for Auxiliaries For Glucose line US\$ 2.035 with US\$ 1.85 M financed from EPAPII

New Quotations				
Component	Price	Statues		
Comp 3 : Tanks	246,946.90	Signed contract		
Comp 4: S.S Pipes	450,331.45	Signed contract		
Comp 5: Cables	394,243.37	Signed contract		
Comp 6 : Lab Equipment	498,500	Signed contract		
Comp 7: S.S Fitting	257,466.53	Signed contract		

1.8 EPAP Technical Support:

• The company hired a consultant (Self Finance) to prepare the environmental audit and Compliance Action Plan. EPAP TA Updated the Audit and CAP,

• EPAP PMU, TA assist the company in the preparation of technical specification For two stage bidding.

2. Eligibility Criteria

2.1 Environmental

- Reduce raw materials and product losses and the corresponding reduction in wastewater pollution load resulting from washing out of the spilled materials during floor washing. More than 50% reduction in pollution load will be achieved which is equivalent to 9 tons/d COD (equivalent to 60% reduction) and 2 tons/d T.S.S (equivalent to 82% reduction).
- Almost 100% reduction in carbon dust and HCl emissions in air at work place.
- The percentage pollution reduction in the total pollution from the enterprise are calculated in terms of COD & T.S.S and found to be 28% and 13% respectively which is significant.

2.2 Financial:

- The sub project cost is less than MUS\$ 15.
- The payback period is 3.81 years.

3. Current status of project procedures

3.1 Steering committee approval: Approved

3.2 Co-financers approval: N.A

3.3 Technical Procedures:

Technical Document	submitted	Approved	Date
Environmental	Y	Y	Sep 2009
Assessment			
Compliance Action	Y	Y	Sep 2009
Plan (CAP)			
Environmental Impact	Y	Y	10/5/2009
Assessment (EIA)			
Technical Agreement	Y	Y	5/2/2010

3.4 Implementation Procedures:

3.4.1 Procurement Procedures:

The company followed its commercial practice to issue tender for Supply and Installation of the project equipment. The Company used EPAPII proposed two stage bidding document.

3.4.2 Status of Implementation:

		Date	
Technical Document	submitted	Achieved	Planned
Credit worthiness certificate	Y	24/9/2007	
Sub-loan Agreement	Y		
Bidding document(B.D)	Y	Comp 1: 4/4/2009 Comp2: 2/3/2010	
		Comp 3: 26/10/2010	
		Comp4: 31/10/2010	
		Comp 5:27/10/2010	
		Comp 6:27/10/2010	
		Comp 7:31/10/2010	

Revised Technical		Comp1:14/8/2009	
		Comp2: NA	
		Comp3:NA	
		Comp4:NA	
		Comp 5:NA	
		Comp 6: NA	
		Comp 7: NA	
Technical and financial	Y	Comp 1:26/10/2009	
Evaluation		Comp 2: 20/3/2010	
		Comp3: 20/12/2010	
		Comp4: 20/12/2010	
		Comp 5: 29/12/2010	
		Comp 6:20/12/2010	
		Comp 7:20/12/2010	
Awarding and	Y	Comp 1 :4/1/2010	
Contracting		Comp 2:30/3/2010	
		Comp3: 20/12/2010	
		Comp4: 22/12/2010	
		Comp 5:5/1/2011	
		Comp 6:5/1/2011	
		Comp 7:9/1/2011	
Installation and	N	Dec 2012	Mar 2012
Commissioning			
Monitoring:	N	March 2012	Jun 2012
Q1:	N	March 2013	Jun 2012
Q2:	N	June 2013	Sep 2012
Q3:	N	Sep 2013	Dec 2012
Q4:	N	Dec 2013	Mar 2013